

## PATENT APPLICATION

W. BASHORE

Group Art Unit: 2176

Examiner:

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Yoshiyuki KONDO et al.

Application No.: 10/665,119 117254 Docket No.:

COMMUNICATION TERMINAL, STORAGE MEDIUM AND COMMUNICATION Filed: September 22, 2003 For:

**SYSTEM** 

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

1

In reply to the September 21, 2006 Office Action, further to the January 16, 2007 Sir: personal interview, the shortened statutory period for reply having been extended by the attached Petition for Extension of Time, reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested in light of the following remarks.

Claims 1-14 are pending in this application.

Applicants thank Examiner Bashore for the courtesy extended to Applicants' representative, Mr. Luo, during the January 16, 2007 personal interview. The substance of the personal interview is incorporated in the following remarks.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to acknowledge receipt of the certified copy of the priority document filed September 22, 2003.

The Office Action rejects claims 1-14 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 6,182,072 to Leak et al. ("Leak") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,890,173 to Yoda ("Yoda"). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

1,

٠.

The Office Action acknowledges that Leak does not disclose or suggest "a first print instruction unit that causes the printing unit to print a character string indicating the access data of the Web page and an entry column for making a user enter an arbitrary keyword together with an image of the Web page accessed by the access unit," and "a read instruction unit configured to instruct the reading unit to read the character string indicating the access data and the keyword entered in the entry column from the printing medium printed in accordance with an instruction given from the first print instruction unit," as recited in claim 1, and similarly recited in claims 7-9. However, the Office Action asserts that Yoda discloses these features in the Abstract and at col. 5, lines 52-67 and col. 6, line 1-7. Applicants respectfully submit that Yoda does not disclose or suggest these features, as asserted in the Office Action.

As discussed during the personal interview, claim 1 recites, as indicated in the above-quoted parts, reading a keyword entered in an entry column from a printing medium <u>printed</u> in accordance with an instruction given from a first print instruction unit. Claims 7-9 recite similar features. See the specification at, for example, Figs. 4 and 5; page 34, lines 1-24; page 35, features 5-20; and page 55, lines 17-20. As further discussed during the personal interview, Yoda does not disclose or suggest reading a keyword from a <u>printed</u> printing medium. Thus, Yoda does not disclose or suggest the above-quoted features recited in claim 1, and similarly recited in claims 7-9. Hence, Yoda does not supply the subject matter lacking in Leak.

For at least the above reasons, Leak and Yoda, either individually or in combination, do not disclose or suggest the subject matter recited in claims 1 and 7-9, and claims 2-6 and 10-14 not disclose or suggest the subject matter recited in claims 1 and 7-9, and claims 2-6 and 10-14 not disclose or suggest the subject matter recited in claims 1 and 7-9, and claims 2-6 and 10-14 not disclose or suggest the subject matter recited in claims 1 and 7-9, and claims 2-6 and 10-14 not disclose or suggest the subject matter recited in claims 1 and 7-9, and claims 2-6 and 10-14 not disclose or suggest the subject matter recited in claims 1 and 7-9, and claims 2-6 and 10-14 not disclose or suggest the subject matter recited in claims 1 and 7-9, and claims 2-6 and 10-14 not disclose or suggest the subject matter recited in claims 1 and 7-9, and claims 2-6 and 10-14 not disclose or suggest the subject matter recited in claims 1 and 7-9, and claims 2-6 and 10-14 not disclose or suggest the subject matter recited in claims 1 and 7-9, and claims 2-6 and 10-14 not disclose or suggest the subject matter recited in claims 1 and 7-9, and claims 2-6 and 10-14 not disclose or suggest the subject matter recited in claims 1 and 7-9, and claims 2-6 and 10-14 not disclose or suggest the subject matter recited in claims 1 and 7-9, and claims 2-6 and 10-14 not disclose or suggest the subject matter recited in claims 1 and 7-9, and claims 2-6 and 10-14 not disclose or suggest the subject matter recited in claims 1 and 7-9, and claims 2-6 and 10-14 not disclose or suggest the subject matter recited in claims 1 and 7-9, and claims 2-6 and 10-14 not disclose or suggest the subject matter recited in claims 1 and 7-9, and claims 2-6 and 10-14 not disclose or suggest the subject matter recited in claims 1 and 7-9, and claims 2-14 under 3-5 under 10-14 not disclose or subject matter recited in claims 2 and 2 and

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of claims 1-14 are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,

James A. Oliff

Registration No. 27,075

Gang Luo

Registration No. 50,559

JAO:GL/jth

Ç

Attachment:

Petition for Extension of Time

Date: February 21, 2007

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. Box 19928 Alexandria, Virginia 22320 Telephone: (703) 836-6400

DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461